Form 0-135-150 5/2013

USD#

217

= \$1,896,045

USD Form 150 2013-2014 ESTIMATED LEGAL MAXIMUM GENERAL FUND BUDGET

General Fund Budget – I	Lines 1	through 21	
-------------------------	---------	------------	--

1. Estimated 9-20-2013 FTE enrollment (from Table I or Table IV) (Exclude 4 yr old at-risk FTE.)			185.0
2.	Estimated 9-20-2013 4yr old at risk FTE enrollment (e) (Must be approved.)(At-risk students count as .5 FTE) 0.0 (Table IV, Line 4)	=	0.0
3.	Total Estimated 9-20-2013 FTE Enrollment (Line 1 + Line 2)	=	185.0
4.	Estimated low enrollment and high enrollment for districts. 9-20-2013 FTE enrollment (from line 3) 185.0 x 0.789020 factor (from Table II or pages 5, 6)	=	146.0
5.	Estimated weighted bilingual education enrollment. 9-20-2013 bilingual FTE (a) 27.5000 + 0.0000 (Table IV, Line 5) x 0.395	=	10.9
6.	Estimated weighted vocational education enrollment. 9-20-2013 vocational education FTE(b) 6.6667 + 0.0000 (Table IV, Line 6) x 0.5	=	3.3
7.	Estimated weighted at-risk student enrollment(c). Number of eligible students that qualify for free lunches as of 9-20-2013 6 (Table IV, Line 7) x 0.456	=	26.4
8.	Estimated High At-Risk Weighting. District's calculated free lunch percentage for current year: (Comes from Table VI, Line 1) 31.40%		
	District's calculated students per square mile: Line 3 / square miles in district = 185 / 252 = 0.7		
	a. Number of students eligible for free lunch (at least 50%) (58+0) x 0.105 b. Number of students eligible for free lunches at 35.1% and 212.1 students per square mile. (58+0) x 0.105 c. Number of students eligible for free lunches (35-49.99%) (58+0) x (0.314-0.3500) x 0.7		0.0 0.0 0.0
9.	Est. Non-Proficient student weighting. Number of non-proficient students. (g) (80.0465)	=	1.4
10	. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2013 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25	=_	0.0
11	. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5)	=	20.6
12	. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 4)	=	1.1
13	Estimated ancillary facilities weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 0 ÷ \$3,838	=	0.0
14	Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (f) 118,218 ÷ \$3,838	=_	30.8
15	Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amt apprvd by Court of Tax Appeals 0 ÷ \$3,838	=	0.0
16	Estimated FHSU Math & Science Academy FTE enrollment	=	0.0
17	Estimated 2013-2014 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 16) 425.5 x \$3,838	=	\$1,633,069
18	Estimated Cost of Living weighting \$0 ± \$3,838	=_	0.0
19	(maximum allowed for this district) (Amt district will use, up to the maximum) Estimated 2013-2014 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) 425.5 x \$3,838	=	\$1,633,069
20	. Amount to transfer to General Fund (Form 149, Line 3).	=	\$0
21	. Total General Fund Budget Authority (Form 150 Line 19 + Line 20)	=_	\$1,633,069
Lo	cal Option Budget See Form 155		
22	. Estimated 2013-2014 LOB General Fund budget (excludes FHSU weighting & includes higher of 2008-09 Spec Ed or current ye	ar Sp	ec Ed)

(Lines 3 through 13 + 15 + 18) = 394.7 x \$4,433 = \$1749705 + _____ 146,340 (Spec Ed)

approved bilingual class on 9-20-2013 and dividing l	by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). 27.5000 (Record on Line 5)	Total		
(b) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of in an approved vocational class on 9-20-2013 and d	FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of vocational education students who are enrolled and attending in an approved vocational class on 9-20-2013 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total			
(c) USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assistance (d) In order to access new facilities weighting, a USD r	ce plan for the school district. must have adopted at least a 25% LOB. E. USD must be approved by the Kansas State Departr students).	ment		
	TABLE I Declining Enrollment Calculation	USD#	217	
1. September 20, 2012, FTE and February 20, 2013 F	TE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at risk students.)	=	=182.4	
2. September 20, 2013, FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr	r old at risk students.)	=	= 185.0	
3. 3 YR AVG FTE: (171.5 + (9/20/2011 FTE)*	182.4 + (line 1) 179.6		170	
(line 2) * Excludes 4 yr old at risk students, but includes 2/2	(goes to line 3) 20/2012 military students.	=	<u> </u>	
4. FTE enrollment for budget purposes (higher of line 1	1, 2, or 3)(Goes to page 1, line 1 if no military provision;	see Table IV.) =	= 185.0	
	TABLE II			
Enrollment of District	Low and High Enrollment Weighting Factor			
0 - 99.9 100 - 299.9 300 - 1,621.9 1622 and over	1.014331 {[7337 - 9.655 (E - 100)]÷3642.4} -1 {[5406 - 1.237500 (E - 300)]÷3642.4} -1 0.03504			
'E' is 9-20-2013 Adjusted FTE Enrollment (from Page 1	, line 3)			
EXAMPLE: (FTE of 954.0) {[5406 - 1.237500 (954.0 - 300)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 1.237500 (654.0)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 809.325]÷3642.4}-1 {4597.675÷3642.4} -1 1.261991-1 0.261991	FOR COMPUTED FAI SEE 2013-2014 LOW AND HIGH ENROLLM TABLE (PAGES 5 ANI	ENROLLMENT IENT FACTOR		
	TABLE III Transportation Weighting			
1. Area of district in square miles 9-20-2013.		=	=252.0	
All public pupils transported or for whom transportat who reside in the district 2.5 miles or more (Estimate		0.0 (Table IV) =	= 55.0	
3. Index of density = Line 2	55.0 divided by Line 1	(Line 8) 252.0 =	=0.22	
4. Using index of density (Line 3), determine transports	ation weighting factor.	=	= 0.3752	
5. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. 9-20-20 2.5 miles (line 2) 55.0 x	013 number of resident students over 0.3752 factor (Line 4) (to Line	11, Page 1) =	= 20.6	

TABLE IV USD# House Bill 2059 - Military Provision	217			
1. Estimated Adjusted 9-20-2013 FTE (Table 1, Line 4, Form 150)	=	185.0		
2. Estimated 2-20-2014 FTE (excludes 4 yr old at risk students) of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2013 (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 1. If it doesn't meet criteria then calculates zero.)	=	0.0		
3. Estimated FTE Enrollment count for 2013-2014 (Line 1 + Line 2) to Line 1, Form 150	=	185.0		
Number of students in Line 2 with the following weighting factors:				
4. Estimated 2-20-2014 4yr old FTE (add to Line 2, Form 150)	=	0.0		
5. Estimated weighted bilingual education enrollment. 2-20-2014 bilingual FTE (a) 0.0000 x 0.395 (add to Line 5, Form 150)				
6. Estimated weighted vocational education enrollment. 2-20-2014 vocational education FTE (b) 0.0000 x 0.5 (add to Line 6, Form 150) =				
7. Estimated weighted at-risk student enrollment (c). Number of students eligible that qualify for free lunches as of 2-20-2014				
8. Estimated 2-20-2014 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2013 transported or for whom transportation is being made available 2-20-2014 who reside in the district 2.5 miles or more (goes to Table III, Line 2, Form 150)				
9. Estimated weighted 2-20-2014 FTE for New Facilities (d) FTE 0.0 x 0.2 (add to Line 10, Form 150)	25 = <u> </u>	0.0		
(a) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of bilingual students who are enrolled and attending in an approved bilingual class on 2-20-2014 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total clock hours 0.0 ÷ 6 = 0.0000 (Record on Line 5)				
(b) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an approved vocational class on 2-20-2014 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total clock hours 0.0 ÷ 6 = 0.0000 (Record on Line 6)				
(c) USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assistance plan for the school district.				
(d) In order to access new facilities weighting, a USD must have adopted at least a 25% LOB.				
TABLE V Virtual Enrollment Weighting (K.S.A. 72-3715, 72-3716)		•••••		
1. Estimated 9/20/2013 FTE Virtual Enrollment 1.0 X 1.05	=	1.1		
2. Estimated Non-Proficient* Virtual Students (headcount) 0 X 0.25	=	0.0		
3. Estimated Virtual Students Taking AP** Courses 1st Semester 2nd Semester 0 X .08 = 2nd Semester 0 X .08 =	0.0	0.0		
4. Estimated Weighted FTE Virtual Enrollment		1.1		
* This provision applies to punils that would qualify for paid or reduced priced lunches, and did not meet proficient				

^{*} This provision applies to pupils that would qualify for paid or reduced priced lunches, and did not meet proficient in Math or Reading State Assessments in the prior year. The virtual school must have a virtual at-risk pupil assistance plan on file with KSDE.

8/26/2013 2:13 PM Form 150 Page 3

^{**} The Advanced Placement (AP) course is not available in the home district of the virtual pupil. The home district is either more than 200 square miles or has an enrollment of at least 260 pupils.

[&]quot;Virtual School" means any school or educational program that: (1) Is offered for credit; (2) uses distance-learning technologies which predominately use internet-based methods to deliver instruction; (3) involves instruction that occurs asynchronously with the teacher and pupil in separate locations; (4) requires the pupil to make academic progress toward the next grade level and matriculation from kindergarten through high school graduation; (5) requires the pupil to demonstrate competence in subject matter for each class or subject in which the pupil is enrolled as part of the virtual school; and (6) requires age-appropriate pupils to complete state assessment tests.

TABLE VI High At-Risk Weighting Calculation

High At-Risk Weighting Calculation

1. Calculated free lunch percentage for the current year (goes to page 1, line 8)

(Page 1, Line 7 total students eligible for Free Lunches) / (Page 1, Line 3) = 58 + 0 / 185 = 31.40%

31.40%

USD#

217

30.70%

ADDITIONAL DEFINITION FOR SCHOOL FACILITIES (Must use a minimum LOB listed below to qualify for this provision.)

a) School Facilities Definition - School facilities weighting is available for school districts whose adopted local option budget (LOB) is at least 25% for 2013-14 and have constructed an entirely new facility or an addition to an existing facility.

The determination of weighting will be based upon the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students that are enrolled and attending in the new facility September 20 (and February 20 for districts qualifying under K.S.A. 72-6448). In the case of school districts that have constructed an addition to existing facilities, the number of students that are enrolled and attending in the new addition will be counted on a full-time equivalent basis (see example 2.) The additional weighting for this provision of the law is applicable for two years only. For a new facility, the FTE is for the entire building (see example 1). For additions to an existing facility, the following calculating would be utilized.

Example #1: (For new buildings.)

For a totally new constructed building, the FTE equals the total enrollment FTE for that building.

2. District's calculated free lunch percentage for the prior year (info only)

	<u>Headcount</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Kindergarten	77	38.5
Grade 1	87	87.0
Grade 2	81	81.0
Grade 3	75	75.0
Weighting for exam	ple:	281.5 X 0.25 = 70.4 X \$3838 = \$270195

Example #2: (For new additions)

	Total number of students in each new classroom Number of class periods (divide by) Full-time equivalent enrollment =	
Example:	New classroom A = New classroom B = New classroom C = New classroom D = TOTAL =	105 students for the day 154 students for the day 133 students for the day 121 students for the day 513
	divide by _ = _	7 class periods 73.3 FTE

Weighting for above example: $73.3 \times 0.25 = 18.3 \times $3838 = 70235

Qualifying for New Facilities Weighting

In order to qualify for new facilities weighting, a district must have adopted at least a 25% local option budget.